Army and Society in Ptolemaic Egypt

This is the only substantial and up-to-date reference work on the Ptolemaic army. Employing Greek and Egyptian papyri and inscriptions, and building on approaches developed in state-formation theory, it offers a coherent account of how the changing structures of the army in Egypt after Alexander’s conquest led to the development of an ethnically more integrated society. A new tripartite division of Ptolemaic history challenges the idea of gradual decline and emphasizes the reshaping of military structures that took place between c. 220 and c. 160 BC in response to changes in the nature of warfare, to mobilization and demobilization, and to financial constraints. An investigation of the socio-economic role played by soldiers permits a reassessment of the cleruchic system and shows how soldiers’ associations generated inter-ethnic group solidarity. By integrating Egyptian evidence, Christelle Fischer-Bovet also demonstrates that the connection between the army and local temples offered new ways for Greeks and Egyptians to interact.
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Notes on abbreviations

I refer to editions of papyri and ostraca according to the abbreviations used in the Checklist of Editions of Greek, Latin, Demotic and Coptic Papyri, Ostraca and Tablets, which is updated online.\(^1\) For inscriptions, I use the abbreviations proposed in the Guide de l’épigraphiste.\(^2\) For unpublished papyri or inscriptions, I give the inventory number. Unless specific comments from editors are mentioned, editions of all such texts are not included in the bibliography. In many cases, I indicate when a papyrus or inscription has been republished with the sign “=“ and provide the date and provenance of the text according to the online database of the Heidelberger Gesamtverzeichnis des Griechischen Papyrusurkunden Ägyptens, e.g. P.Cair.Zen. II 59254 = SB III 6992 (Arsinoite, 252 BC). PP is my abbreviation for Peremans and Van ’t Dack’s Prosopographia Ptolemaica, which collects soldiers and officers in volumes I and II, with corrections in volume VIII. For periodicals, I use the abbreviations of L’Année philologique. I have not abbreviated ancient authors’ names, in order to make the references as accessible as possible to non-Classicsists.

Greek names and proper names are generally given Latinate forms. Egyptian names that appear in Greek texts have been transliterated according to the Greek spelling. When a person is known by two significantly different transliterations, I give both, for example Pachou/Pasas. I indicate in parentheses the vocalization of the most common Demotic words when they occur for the first time (e.g. mr-ms, vocalized mer-mesh). Because some sections of this book contain a large number of technical terms, I have compiled a glossary with straightforward definitions, which can be complemented by standard introductions to Hellenistic Egypt.\(^3\) I thus use chôra, for example, with its traditional meaning in papyrological studies, referring to all villages and cities of Egypt except the territories of Alexandria, Naucratis and Ptolemais, which are defined as Greek city-states.

---

Notes on abbreviations

(poleis). To avoid confusion, I generally use the Greek word polis (plural poleis) to distinguish these three places from other Egyptian cities.

4 Euergetis, a late foundation by the epistратēgos Boethos, is regarded by Cohen (2006) 347–8 as a fourth Egyptian polis because the term is used to describe it in the papyri.
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