Written by some of the leading figures in the fields of conversation analysis, discursive psychology and ethnomethodology, this book looks at the challenging implications of new discourse-based approaches to the topic of cognition. Up to now, cognition has primarily been studied in experimental settings. This volume shows how cognition can be reworked using analyses of engaging examples of real life interaction such as conversations between friends, relationship counselling sessions and legal hearings. It includes an extended introduction that overviews the history and context of cognitive research and its basic assumptions to provide a frame for understanding the specific examples discussed, as well as surveying cutting edge debates about discourse and cognition. This comprehensive and accessible book opens up important new ways of understanding the relation between language and cognition.
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Transcription conventions

The following conventions were developed by Gail Jefferson.

[] Square brackets mark the start and end of overlapping speech. Position them in alignment where the overlap occurs.

↑↓ Vertical arrows precede marked pitch movement, over and above normal rhythms of speech. They are for marked, hearably significant shifts – and even then, the other symbols (full stops, commas, question marks) mop up most of that. Like with all these symbols, the aim is to capture interactionally significant features, hearable as such to an ordinary listener – especially deviations from a common sense notion of ‘neutral’, which admittedly has not been well defined.

→ Side arrows are not transcription features, but draw analytic attention to particular lines of text. Usually positioned to the left of the line.

Underlining Underlining signals vocal emphasis; the extent of underlining within individual words locates emphasis, but also indicates how heavy it is.

CAPITALS Capitals mark speech that is obviously louder than surrounding speech (often occurs when speakers are hearably competing for the floor, raised volume rather than doing contrastive emphasis).

◦↑I know it,◦ ‘Degree’ signs enclose obviously quieter speech (i.e., hearably produced as quieter, not just someone distant).

that’s r’ight. Asterisks precede a ‘squeaky’ vocal delivery.
Transcription conventions

(0.4) Numbers in round brackets measure pauses in seconds (in this case, 4 tenths of a second). Place on new line if not assigned to a speaker.

(.) A micropause, hearable but too short to measure.

((text)) Additional comments from the transcriber, e.g. context or intonation.

she wa::nted Colons show degrees of elongation of the prior sound; the more colons, the more elongation.

hhh Aspiration (out-breaths); proportionally as for colons.

.hhh Inspiration (in-breaths); proportionally as for colons.

Yeh, ‘Continuation’ marker, speaker has not finished; marked by fall-rise or weak rising intonation, as when enunciating lists.

y’know? Question marks signal stronger, ‘questioning’ intonation, irrespective of grammar.

Yeh. Periods (full stops) mark falling, stopping intonation (‘final contour’), irrespective of grammar and not necessarily followed by a pause.

bu-u-

>he said< ‘Greater than’ and ‘lesser than’ signs enclose speeded-up talk. Sometimes used the other way round for slower talk.

solid.= ‘Equals’ signs mark the immediate ‘latching’ of successive talk, whether of one or more speakers, with no interval. Also used as below (lines 3–5), where an unbroken turn has been split between two lines to accommodate another speaker on the transcript page.

heh heh Voiced laughter. Can have other symbols added, such as underlinings, pitch movement, extra aspiration, etc.

sto(h)p i(h)t Laughter within speech is signalled by ‘h’s in round brackets.